

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23429 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-982 Year-2024 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

=====

Randheer Kumar @ Randhir Kumar S/o Late Krishna Kant Singh @ Late
Krishan Kant Singh R/o Danara, P.S- Bikram, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Patanjali Rishi, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 03-04-2026 Heard Mr. Patanjali Rishi, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Choubey Jawahar, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Danapur P.S. Case No. 982 of 2024, instituted for the offences

under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,

2023 and read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.

The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail

which was earlier rejected by this Court vide order dated

12.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 33909 of 2025 taking into

consideration the nature and gravity of the offence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 10.02.2025

without any rhymes or reason and has got no criminal



antecedent. It is submitted that previously the petitioner was granted liberty to renew his prayer for bail before the learned Court below if the trial is not concluded within a period of six weeks. It is next submitted that charge has already been framed against the petitioner on 23.02.2026 and no any witnesses have been examined in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the continued incarceration of the petitioner without conclusion of trial amounts to a gross violation of the fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, which reiterated by Hon'ble Apex Court in plethora of Judgments.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody undergone by the petitioner and taking into account the fact that continued detention of the petitioner would serve no purpose other than punitive pretrial incarceration, which is antithetical to the spirit of Article 21 of the Constitution of India, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 982 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

