

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23793 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-131 Year-2020 Thana- GOPALPUR District- Gopalganj

Sunil Singh Son of Osiyar Singh R/O Vill .- Ahirauli Tola, P.S. - Gopalpur,
Distt. -Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Iftekhar Mahmood, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 272, 273 and 420 of the
Indian Penal Code read with Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise
Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of seven cases out of which five cases
under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of 89.200
liters of liquor from a bush and petitioner is in custody since
07.03.2026.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the bail
application of the petitioner and submits that petitioner had
earlier moved before this Court seeking anticipatory bail by
filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 42629 of 2024 and the same



came to be allowed by an order dated 05.07.2024 with a direction that after granting provisional anticipatory bail to the petitioner, his criminal antecedent shall be verified. It is next submitted that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner was verified and it was found that petitioner had not approached this Court with clean hand in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 42629 of 2024, as such, his provisional anticipatory bail bonds were cancelled, it is thus submitted that if privilege of bail is granted, the petitioner may abscond, on which the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that if privilege of bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, the instant bail application stands rejected.

7. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

