

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30871 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-646 Year-2024 Thana- NAGAR District- Vaishali

=====

Pappu Kumar S/o Shiv jee Paswan Resident of Village- Hela Bazar, P.S.-
Town, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anish Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Fahimuddin, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 24-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Town
P.S. Case No. 646 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 8(c), 21(c), 22 & 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and
Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order
dated 19.06.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 33147 of 2025, taking
into account recovery of contraband beyond commercial
quantity coupled with embargo under Section 37 of the NDPS
Act.



4. In compliance of the order dated 08.05.2026, a report dated 27.05.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that even charge is not framed in this case.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 26.08.2024 without any rhymes or reason, having no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner which is already decided by this Court.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again ***rejected*** with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

9. The District and Additional Sessions Judge 1st Vaishali at Hajipur is directed to take all sincere efforts so as to frame charge in this case against the petitioner.

10. The District Magistrate, Vaishali and the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali are also directed to take



necessary steps to produce the witnesses on the date fixed in the Trial Court so that the trial could be concluded expeditiously.

10. Let this order be communicated to District and Additional Sessions Judge 1st Vaishali at Hajipur, the District Magistrate, Vaishali and the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

