

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22920 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2025 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

=====

Randhir Paswan @ Randhir Kumar S/O Indal Paswan R/O Village- Faridpur
Baghkol, P.S.- Bikram, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Jay Karan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-04-2026 Heard Mr. Vinod Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Jay Karan, learned counsel for the Informant and

Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
11.06.2025, in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 240 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 10.06.2025 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S. and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he is
conspirator of the present crime in question.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but from perusal of the F.I.R. it
appears that there is specific allegation of firing attributedf



against co-accused persons, namely, Prince Kumar and Indal Paswan. Although the petitioner was accompanied with the other co-accused persons and as per allegation in the F.I.R. there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act or firing attributed against the petitioner. He further submits that co-accused person, namely, Sujit Kumar has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 17.01.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 83712 of 2025, another co-accused person, namely, Rahul Paswan has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 19.03.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 86595 of 2025, another co-accused person, namely, Junaid Alam has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 04.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 90408 of 2025, respectively. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 11.06.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has participated in the present in crime in question and apart from aforesaid the petitioner carries



one more case other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matter.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. VI, Danapur, Patna in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 240 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for



cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U			
---	--	--	--

