

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23242 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-31 Year-2025 Thana- SURSAND District- Sitamarhi

=====

Aakash Paswan @ Akash Paswan Son of Umesh Paswan R/o Village -
Banauli, P.S. - Sursand, Dist. - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhubala Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 03-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
22.05.2025 in connection with Sursand P.S. Case No. 31 of
2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2) and 3(5)
of B.N.S.

3. The prosecution story in short is that the informant
in his written application stated that he had solemnized the
marriage of his daughter, with one Akash Paswan seven months
ago as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter she was being
subjected to cruelty by the accused persons due to non-
fulfillment of dowry demand of Rs.2 lakhs cash and a
motorcycle. Ultimately on 24.01.2025 she was killed by the
accused persons due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case merely on the ground that



petitioner is husband of the deceased. It is next submitted that from perusal of the FIR it appears that there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act rather the allegations are general and omnibus in nature against the petitioner .

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, on the basis of materials available on record and the case diary has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that death of the deceased occurred within seven months of the marriage and it is a very serious issue in this case. Apart from that it appears from the FIR that petitioner and co-accused persons have demanded Rs. 2,00,000/- and a motorcycle as dowry from the family members of the deceased and due to non-fulfillment of the same they have killed the deceased.

6. Considering the nature of allegation as well as medical evidence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Sursand P.S. Case No. 31 of 2025 pending in the court of learned S.D.J.M., Pupri, Sitamarhi.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

