

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6556 of 2026

=====

Jagadish Saw Son of Ram Sagar Saw, Resident of village- Patnwa, P.S.-
Jamhaur, District- Aurangabad, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
3. The Deputy Collector (Establishment), Aurangabad.
4. The District Treasury Officer, Aurangabad.
5. The Circle Officer, Barun, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Pramendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ravi Kumar, A.C. to G.P.13
		Mr. Akshay Lal Prasad, A.C. to G.P.13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the
following reliefs:

“1. That the present application is being
filed for issuance of an appropriate
writ/order/direction, particularly in the nature of
Mandamus, directing the respondent authorities to
make payment for 24 days of commuted leave and
31 days of earned leave, along with interest @ 12%
per annum from the date of sanction, which have
already been duly sanctioned on full pay vide Office
Order No.229/2019-20, contained in Memo No. 436
dated 24.06.2019, issued under the signature of the
Deputy Collector (Establishment), Aurangabad.”

3. When the matter was taken up on 30.04.2026, the



learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State requested for a short adjournment in order to verify from the authorities concerned that why the amount has not been credited in the account of the petitioner till date and which has been sanctioned vide Memo No.436, dated 24.06.2019.

4. On the request made by the learned counsel for the State, the matter was passed over, without recording any order. Today, when the matter has been called out, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State-Respondents submits that an affidavit has already been sworn by respondents no.2, 3 and 5 on 04.05.2026 and the same has been uploaded on the website of this Court today. The hard copy of the same will be filing during course of the day in the office. Paragraph No.6 of the affidavit is being quoted hereinbelow:

“That the petitioner’s grievance, as raised in the present writ petition, already stands redressed, as pursuant to the sanctioned order contained in aforesaid Memo No. 436 dated 24.06.2019, the Circle Officer, Barun has processed, drawn, and settled the admissible dues of the petitioner, leaving no surviving cause of action for further adjudication.”

5. From perusal of paragraph no.6 of the said affidavit, it appears that the grievance raised in the present writ petition, pursuant to the sanction order contained in Memo



No.436, dated 24.06.2019 stands redressed. The Circle Officer, Barun has processed, drawn and settled the admissible dues of the petitioner, leaving no surviving cause of action for further adjudication.

6. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, upon instruction from the petitioner, submits that the amount has already been credited in the account of the petitioner in March, 2026 itself, however due to the petitioner being old age, the same was not verified by him.

7. Accordingly, from the statement made in the counter affidavit and the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition has now become infructuous and is thus dismissed as infructuous.

(Ritesh Kumar, J.)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

