

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24371 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-19 Year-2026 Thana- SHRIKRISHNAPURI District- Patna

Utkarsh Raj Son of Raj Kumar Resident of- L1/47, Shri Krishna Puri, P.S.-
Shri Krishna Puri, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Brisketu Sharan Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan
	Mr. Parmeshwar Vishwakarma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 07-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with S.K. Puri P.S. Case No.19 of 2026, dated 17.01.2026, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 109, 115(2), 118, 126(2), 127(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the FIR, the allegation against the petitioner is that he, along with other co-accused persons assaulted the son of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that there is nothing specific against the petitioner except that his name has been incorporated in the F.I.R., while, in connection with the alleged occurrence,



the father and mother of the petitioner have already been sent to judicial custody, and even against the mother there is no specific allegation of overt act. He further submits that the petitioner is a practicing Advocate and, without there being any specific allegation, he has been implicated in the instant case for ulterior reasons. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State, as well as learned counsel for the informant, has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. It is submitted that the injuries sustained by the son of the informant are grievous in nature; however, the submission that there is nothing specific against the petitioner has not been controverted.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the father and mother of the petitioner have already been arrested and that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R., let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned SDJM,



Patna/Successor Court in connection with S.K. Puri P.S. Case No.19 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/ personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

