

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26905 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-134 Year-2025 Thana- TISIAUTA District- Vaishali

Niraj Kumar Son of Ramnath Ram Resident of Village - Laxmi Narayanpur,
P.S. - Tisauta, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Natraj Verma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner who apprehends arrest in connection with Tisiauta P.S. Case No. 134 of 2025 lodged on 17.08.2025 for the offences punishable under sections 137(2), 96 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The accusations is of kidnapping the minor daughter of the informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It is further contended that the petitioner and the alleged victim were in a love relationship, and both of them had left the house of their own free will and volition. Learned counsel submits that the alleged victim girl has since been recovered and her statement has been recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S.,



wherein she has categorically stated she left the house of her own sweet will. It is further submitted that the antecedents of the petitioner are clean and he has no criminal history.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that it is true that the victim girl has been recovered but upon perusal of the statement recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. it appears that there is specific allegation against the petitioner by the victim.

6. In this background, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner in connection with the aforementioned case, pending before the learned ACJM-V, Vaishali at Hajipur, is hereby rejected.

7. However, if the petitioner surrenders before the Trial Court within six weeks from today, the Trial Court is directed to pass an order on his surrender-cum-bail application on the same day, without being prejudiced by the fact that the petitioner's anticipatory bail has been rejected by this Court.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

