

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22448 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-569 Year-2021 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

AMIT KUMAR YADAV @ AMIT YADAV S/O ARJUN YADAV R/o vill -
Madhura Dakshain, ward no. 9, P.S.- Narpatganj, Distt.- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gopal Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : MrS.Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

5 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. A prayer for bail has been made on behalf of the
petitioner in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case no.569 of
2021 registered under sections 328, 304B and 34 of IPC.

3. Allegation in the F.I.R is that petitioner along with
his family members killed the informant's daughter due to non-
fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
there is a delay of three days in lodging of the F.I.R. The



petitioner has been implicated in the case only for the reason that he happens to be the husband of the deceased. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that trial has commenced and informant has already been examined who has not supported the case of prosecution and has also not been declared hostile, making her deposition binding upon prosecution. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 19.11.2024. He undertakes to co-operate in case/trial.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that informant has not supported the prosecution case during trial, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case no.569 of 2021 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned where the case is pending/successor Court, subject to further condition that the petitioner shall remain physically present in the learned trial Court on each date and shall cooperate in the trial. In case the petitioner is absent on the two



consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, the learned trial Court would be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

