

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25184 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-660 Year-2025 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

Neyaz Khan @ Neyaz Ahmad Khan Son of Late Sagheer Ahmad Khan
Resident of village- Miyapur Tilangahi, Ps- Bairiya Dist- West Champaran
But Wrongly Shown as Resident of village- Narainapur Ps- Ramnagar, Dist-
West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarendra Nath Verma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 660 of 2025 instituted for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 324(4), 351(2), 334(1), 329(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner, along with 8 other named accused persons and 20-25 unknown accused persons, has cut the shutter of the godown of the informant and has looted Rs. 10,00,000/- It is further alleged that one Khalid Anwar @ Guddu has assaulted with *farsa* on the head of Ajay Kumar Gupta (the son of the informant). It is



further alleged that all the accused persons also assaulted and snatched the golden chain.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the main thrust of the allegation is against Khalid Anwar. The petitioner is merely a member of the mob and the nature of the allegation is general and omnibus. Though there is an allegation that the articles worth Rs. 10,00,000/- were looted but there is no recovery. He further submits that the informant is having Civil dispute with Khalid Anwar and the petitioner happens to be the brother-in-law of Khalid Anwar that is why he has been framed in the present case.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has got criminal antecedent of five cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender



in connection with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 660 of 2025, he will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bagaha, West Champaran subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

