

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28611 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-177 Year-2024 Thana- KASBA District- Purnia

=====

Rahul Kumar @ Rahul Kumar Yadav @ Rahul Yadav S/o Late Binod Yadav
R/o Village- Tinpaniya, Ward no. 5/14, P.S.- Kasba, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Rasika, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Usha Kumari 1, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 03-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kasba
P.S. Case No. 177 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 103(1) & 61 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023,
Section 27 of the Arms Act and Sections 3(2)(v) of the SC/ST
Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order
dated 18.08.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 35997 of 2025, taking
into account nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence.

4. In compliance of the order dated 01.05.2026, a



report dated 25.05.2026, with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that seven (7) out of eleven (11) chargesheet witnesses have been examined in this case. It is further reported that trial is expected to be concluded within a period of two months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 07.08.2024, without any rhymes or reason, having no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again ***rejected*** with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of two months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before



the court below.

Raj Kishore/- **(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

U		T	
---	--	---	--

