

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25924 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-249 Year-2025 Thana- MOTIHARI MUFASIL District- East
Champaran

=====

Dipraj @ Dipendra kumar Son of Ramayodhya Prasad Resident of Village -
Bela Nirpur, P.S.- Chiraiya, District - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Prateek Tandon, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Sharda Kumari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Muffasil P.S. Case No. 249 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 103(1) and 61(2) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 15.04.2025,
the son of the informant was taken to Pakaridayal by the
petitioner and subsequently, he was informed that the son of the
informant had meet with an accident. The informant suspected
that the petitioner had killed his son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this



case. The petitioner is in custody since 23.10.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. From the materials available on record and the statement of the witnesses, it appears to be a case of accidental death of the deceased.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Motihari, East Champaran/ concerned Court in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 249 of 2025.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

