

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23546 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-384 Year-2025 Thana- DHANAHA District- West Champaran

CHUMAN YADAV Son of Lalbabu Yadav Resident of Village - Khotahawa,
Ward No.- 13, Police Station - Dhanaha, District - West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanobar Shahnaz, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Dhanaha P.S. Case No. 384 of 2025 dated 25.11.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 329(3), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 351(3), 79 and 303(2) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation, this petitioner along with several co-accused persons forming an unlawful assembly came at the door steps of the informant and started assaulting the informant and her son, namely, Nitish Patel as a result of which both of them got injuries on head and hand. Thereafter, it is alleged that this petitioner assaulted by means of lathi on the head of the son of the informant.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been made accused on the backdrop of previous dispute and the petitioner was never a part of the unlawful assembly. Moreover, it has been submitted that the injuries sustained by the son of the informant is simple in nature which fact has been mentioned in paragraph-9 of the anticipatory bail application, there is no allegation of repetition of blow against the petitioner and in fact he fell down on the ground and received injuries as a result of scuffle with the co-accused persons. It has further been submitted that the petitioner was present at the alleged place of occurrence as a bystander and thinking the petitioner to be the associate of the co-accused persons, the informant got this petitioner falsely implicated. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Bagaha, West



Champaran in connection with Dhanaha P.S. Case No. 384 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

