

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22809 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2019 Thana- KUMAR KHAND District- Madhepura

Sikendra Yadav @ Sikendra Kumar S/o Radhe Yadav R/o Vill- Israin Kala,
Ward No. 06, P.S.- Kumarkhand, Distt- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Pooja Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kumarkhand P.S. Case No. 20 of 2019 for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant has alleged that on 22.01.2019, all the F.I.R. named accused persons allegedly arrived at the place of occurrence variously armed with deadly weapons. It is alleged that accused Vidyanand Yadav exhorted the other accused persons by saying to kill the informant on the disputed land itself, whereupon accused Baiju Yadav assaulted the informant on his forehead with a *Farsa* (axe), causing a bleeding injury on his head. The remaining



accused persons allegedly assaulted the informant with lathis, sticks, broom, and danda, causing further injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that the petitioner has not committed any offence and that the entire allegations levelled against him are false, fabricated, and concocted. Learned counsel further submits that there exists a case and counter-case between the parties arising out of a long-standing land dispute. It is further submitted that the allegations made in the F.I.R. against all the accused persons are general and omnibus in nature without attributing any specific overt act against the petitioner. The specific allegation of assault by means of a *Farsa* has been attributed to co-accused *Baiju Yadav*, whereas co-accused *Vidyanand Yadav* is alleged to have instigated or given orders at the time of occurrence.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-



(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Madhepura, in connection with Kumarkhand P.S. Case No. 20 of 2019 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., as also with the condition that one of the bailors should be close relative of the petitioner.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

