

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22705 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-795 Year-2023 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Raushan Kumar Son of Sonu Sah Resident of Village -Shivobahar PS-
Suryapura District -Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Puja Kumari Wife of Raushan Kumar Resident of Village- Badhaiyabag
Takiya Ward no. 10, Post- Takiya Bazar, Ps- Sasaram Town, Dist- Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashwani Kumar Tiwary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party No. 2:		Mr. Uma Shankar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Nityanand, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-04-2026 Heard Mr. Ashwani Kumar Tiwary, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Uma Shankar, learned counsel for the Informant and Mr. Nityanand, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 22.12.2025, in connection with Sasaram Nagar (T) P.S. Case No. 795 of 2023, F.I.R. dated 01.09.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 313, 341, 323, 498(A), 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The petitioner is the husband of the Opposite Party No. 2 and there is allegation of demand of dowry and torture.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail vide



order dated 29.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 34119 of 2024 with the condition that the petitioner shall pay Rs. 2500/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 2 (informant) in the first week of every month but the petitioner has not complied the same and later on the petitioner has moved before this Court for modifying the order dated 29.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 34119 of 2024 but the same has been modified vide order dated 12.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 64806 of 2025 to the extent that the petitioner shall pay Rs. 2,000/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 2 but despite of that the petitioner has not paid any amount as yet and thereafter the petitioner has surrendered in the present case on 20.02.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has not honoured the order of this Court and part from that the allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted to the informant and apart from that the petitioner has demanded Rs. 5,00,000/- (Five Lakh) from the family members of the Opposite Party No. 2.

6. Considering the nature of allegation as well as period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned



Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram, District- Rohtas in connection with Sasaram Nagar (T) P.S. Case No. 795 of 2023, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

