

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8762 of 2026

Kalawati Singh @ Kalawati Devi Wife of Late Birendra Pratap Singh,
Resident of Mohalla- Neempokhar, Kadirabad Ward No. 4, Darbhanga, Police
Station- Lalbagh in the district of Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Industry, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Industry, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna through its Managing Director.
4. The Managing Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sunil Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Prasad Ac to SC (5)
For the BIADA	:	Mr. Lalit Kishore, Sr. Adv. Mr. Kanishka Shankar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 23-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

2. The present writ application has been filed by the
petitioner seeking a direction upon the respondents to make
payment of the arrears of salary due for the period from
13.02.2008 to 12.06.2009, on the ground that during the said
period the petitioner's husband, who was posted in BIADA, was
terminated from service in an illegal and arbitrary manner.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the order of termination of the deceased employee was set aside and, thereafter, he was reinstated in service, however, the payment due to him has still not been made. It has further been submitted that reliance is placed upon Annexure-14, being the order passed by this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 10080 of 2011, whereby the employees of BIADA who had approached this Court were granted and extended the relief now sought by the petitioner. It is further submitted on behalf of the petitioner that an L.P.A. No. 594 of 2023/LPA No. 631 of 2023 was filed only after the entire payment had been made by the concerned authorities to the petitioners in C.W.J.C. No. 10080 of 2011.

4. Hence, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner shall file a representation before the concerned authority, namely respondent no. 4 and the said authority may be directed to consider and decide the representation in the light of the orders passed by this Court.

5. Permission is accorded.

6. Let the representation be filed before the concerned authority within a period of four weeks from today. Upon receipt of the representation, the concerned authority shall consider and dispose of the same by passing a reasoned and



speaking order within a reasonable period in accordance with law.

7. At this juncture, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, have stated that L.P.A. No. 594 of 2023 along with L.P.A. No. 631 of 2023 have been preferred against the order passed in C.W.J.C. No. 10080 of 2011 and are pending final adjudication before this Court. In my opinion, while taking a decision and passing a speaking order, the authorities shall also take into account the orders passed by this Court as well as the aforesaid L.P.As. pending consideration before this Court.

8. The application stand disposed of with the above said observations.

(Raj Kumar, J)

ashutosh/Nirmal

U			
---	--	--	--

