

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25220 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-67 Year-2025 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

Mithun Yadav @ Prince Kumar @ Prince @ Prince Kr. S/o- Late Gopal
Yadav Resident Of Village- Badi Mirzapur, Ps- Kasim Bazar, Dist- Munger,
P/A- Badi Jankipur Ps- Amarpur Dist- Banka

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Surabh Raj, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 08-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner seeking bail
in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 67 of 2025 registered
for the offence under Sections 25(1-b)a, 26 & 35 of Arms Act.

3. Earlier, the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 17.09.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 63581 of 2025 which
reads as follows:-

*“Heard learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection
with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 67 of 2025
registered for the offence punishable under
Sections 25(1-B)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.*

*3. The petitioner has been named by one
Manohar Pandit from whose house the recovery
of the country made pistol has been made.*



4. The petitioner is in custody since 02.04.2025 and is accused in 19 cases which includes serious cases of murders, dacoity and loot etc.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and the criminal antecedent, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed”

4. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

5. In the trial, three out of seventeen witnesses have been examined. The petitioner is accused in nineteen more cases out of which there are three cases of murder.

6. Considering the aforesaid circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

8. If the trial is not concluded within one year because of the delay caused by the prosecution, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

sadique/-

U			
---	--	--	--

