

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24868 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-550 Year-2025 Thana- MALSALAMI District- Patna

Prahalad Kumar, S/O Shiv Kumar @ Shiv Kumar Gupta, R/O Village- Simli
(Sahadra) Main Road, Pani Tanki, P.S.- Malsalami, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sudhir Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Malsalami P.S. Case No. 550 of 2025, registered for the alleged offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 122, 109(1), 352, 351(3), 3 (5) of BNS and Sections 25(1-B)a, 26, 27 & 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, over some minor altercation, the petitioner shot at the informant causing injury in his chest.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner has not caused any injury to the informant



and he does not even know the informant. The learned counsel further submits that no serious injury has been caused to the informant as he put his signature on the *fardbeyan*. The petitioner is young boy aged about 20 years and is having clean antecedent. The petitioner is in custody since 26.10.2025.

5. The learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. The learned APP submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioner that he fired upon the informant causing two lacerated wounds on left chest wall and the injury was stated to be grievous caused by firearm. The learned APP further submits that the *fardbeyan* was recorded a day after the occurrence when the petitioner was operated for the firearm injury in PMCH and putting signature on *fardbeyan* is quite natural as the informant recovered after operation. The learned APP also submits that prayer for bail of one of the co-accused, namely Aditya Kumar has been rejected by the learned Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 31.03.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No.19819 of 2026.

6. Having regard to the direct, specific and serious nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.



7. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of bail is rejected.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

