

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24714 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2022 Thana- FATEHPUR District- Gaya

=====

Sunita Devi W/o Late Shyam Sunder Rajvanshi R/o vill - Gumma, P.S.-  
Fatehpur, Dist.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prasoon Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      01-05-2026                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as  
  
the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and the  
  
learned counsel for the informant.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant  
of regular bail in connection with Fatehpur P.S. Case No. 168 of  
2022. Earlier her bail application was rejected vide order dated  
11.05.2023 passed in Cr.Misc. No. 1837 of 2023 which reads as  
under:-

*Heard the learned counsel for the  
petitioner as well as the learned Additional Public  
Prosecutor for the State and the learned counsel  
for the informant.*

*The petitioner is seeking regular bail in  
connection with Fatehpur P.S. Case No. 168 of*



*2022, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 363 of the Indian Penal Code and later on added Sections 364, 302, 201, 120b/34 of the Indian Penal Code.*

*As per prosecution case, the younger son of the informant, namely, Sunil Singh became traceless.*

*The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the dead body of Sunil Singh was found and the petitioner has been made accused merely on the basis of her confessional statement in which she has stated that she had illicit relation with Sunil Singh and she along with other accused persons committed murder of Sunil Singh. He has submitted further that the confessional statement before the police has no evidentiary value in the eyes of law.*

*On the other hand, the learned APP, assisted by the learned counsel for the informant, has submitted that the confessional statement of the petitioner led to recovery of the dead body of the deceased. As such, the confessional statement cannot be said the sheer confessional statement recorded by the investigating authority, but also it led to recovery of the dead body. Shirt and Lungi of the deceased soaked with blood, were also recovered.*

*In my view, the petitioner does not deserve the privileges of bail, which is hereby rejected.*



3. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that the trial is on the verge of conclusion.

4. In view of the fact that the trial is on the verge of completion, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

**(Sandeep Kumar, J)**

Vikas/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

