

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5280 of 2026

=====

Ramesh Ray, Son of Late Shyam Yadav, Resident of Mohalla- Marufganj
Patthar Ghat, Police Station- Malsalami, Post Office- Jhauganj, District-
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hazipur.
3. The Circle Officer, Raghapur, District- Vaishali.
4. The Director General of Police, Patna, Bihar.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali at Hajipur.
6. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vaishali at Hajipur.
7. The Officer In-charge of Rastampur Police Station, District- Vaishali at Hajipur.
8. The Officer In-charge of Malsalami Police Station, District- Patna.
9. Kedar Ray, Son of Ram Narayan, Resident of Mohalla- Nande Gola Naga Das Ka Math, Police Station- Malsalami, District- Patna.
10. Badri Ray, Son of Ram Narayan, Resident of Mohalla- Nande Gola Naga Das Ka Math, Police Station- Malsalami, District- Patna.
11. Jaddu Ray, Son of Ram Narayan, Resident of Mohalla- Nande Gola Naga Das Ka Math, Police Station- Malsalami, District- Patna.
12. Sidh Ray, Son of Ram Narayan, Resident of Mohalla- Nande Gola Naga Das Ka Math, Police Station- Malsalami, District- Patna.
13. Vijay Ray, Son of Laxmi Ray, Resident of Mohalla- Malsalami, Police Station- Malsalami, District- Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kr Singh No.1, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel (01)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This writ application has been filed for the
following reliefs:-

(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ,



order or direction, directing and commanding the respondents to remove the encroachment made by the respondent nos. 9 to 13 of the petitioner's land bearing Khata No. 103, Kheshra No. 907 and Khata No. 202, Kheshra No. 968. The said land is Khatiyani land of the petitioner but respondent nos. 9 to 13 have encroached most part of the above land without any legal right.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of this writ application, so that he may move before the appropriate forum i.e. D.C.L.R. under Section 4(h) of the B.L.D.R. Act, 2009 for redressal of his grievance.

4. Considering the aforesaid facts, the writ application is withdrawn with a liberty to move before the appropriate forum for his grievance.

5. Accordingly, the writ application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Khatim Reza, J)

prabhat/-

U			
---	--	--	--

