

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23350 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-384 Year-2025 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

Amrit Singh @ Amrit Kumar @ Amarjeet Singh S/o Prahalad Pd. Singh @
Prahalad Mandal @ Prahalad Singh R/o Village- Berma, PS -Amarpur,
District- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Nandan Prasad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P. for the State Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Amarpur P.S. Case No.384/2025, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 109(1), 118(1) and 3(5) of the BNS,
2023 read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases and is in custody since
02.02.2026 and allegation against the petitioner is of firing
causing injury on left side of the chest of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the
informant. It is also submitted that even presuming what has



been alleged is true without admitting then the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad opposes the regular bail application of the petitioner and submits that petitioner had earlier moved before this court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.64216 of 2025 and the same came to be rejected by an order dated 24.09.2025 and at para-3 of Cr. Misc. No.64216 of 2025, it was pleaded that petitioner has antecedent of one case, whereas in the instant regular bail application, it is pleaded that petitioner has antecedent of two cases, which amply demonstrates that petitioner on earlier occasion had not approach the court with clean hand, as such a person who does not approach the court with clean hand at any point of time, should not be dealt leniently. It is also submitted that specific allegation against the petitioner is of committing firing causing injury on chest of the informant and if privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond or try to tamper with the evidence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.



7. Accordingly, the regular bail application of the
petitioner stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

