

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26254 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2025 Thana- BASOPATTI District- Madhubani

Lakshman Bhandari @ Lakshaman Kumar Bhandari Son of Dhani Lal
Bhandari R/o Village - Hathapur Parsa, P.S. - Basopatti, Dist. - Madhubani.
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saurav Kumar Suman, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satyendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-04-2026 Heard Mr.Saurav Kumar Suman, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr.Satyendra Prasad, learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
08.10.2025 in connection with Basopatti P.S. Case No. 121 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 22.05.2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5) of BNS,2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that she
alongwith other co-accused persons have in furtherance of their
common intention committed the dowry death of the daughter
of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely
been implicated in the present case merely on the ground that he
is husband of the deceased. Learned counsel for the petitioner



submits that the marriage was performed with the victim in July 2024 and petitioner was working as a Plumber in Dubai (UAE) and he has come in India in the month of June 2024 and he has performed the marriage with the victim in July, 2024. Thereafter, he returned Dubai (UAE) on 05.08.2024. Thereafter the present occurrence took place on 22.05.2025 and he has returned to India on 27.09.2025 which suggests that on the date of occurrence he was in Dubai (UAE). Learned counsel for the petitioner produced the photo copy of the Passport of the petitioner. From a bare perusal of the photo copy of the Passport of the petitioner reveals that the aforesaid dates are mentioned in the Passport of the petitioner and police, without verifying the same, has made the petitioner as accused in the present case and the police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 08.10.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has produced the Passport which suggests that on the date of occurrence, he was in Dubai, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Madhubani in connection with Basopatti P.S. Case No. 121 of 2025, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

