

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22349 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-46 Year-2025 Thana- Bhimnagar District- Supaul

1. Seema Chaudhary @ Runa Devi W/O Ramashish Sahni Resident of village - Bhimnagar, ward no.- 09, P.s- Bhimnagar, District - Supaul
2. Suraj Kumar S/O Ramashish Singh Resident of village - Bhimnagar, ward no.- 09, P.s- Bhimnagar, District - Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sujeet Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioners seeks bail in connection with Bhimnagar
P.S. Case No. 46 of 2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 137(2) and 96 of BNS.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that on
24.06.2025 at about 5:00 'o' clock in the morning the petitioners
along with co-accused persons came to the house of the
Informant and kidnapped the daughter of the Informant for the
purpose of committing wrongful act and also kept the daughter
of the informant at some secret place. Further the petitioners and
the co- accused persons taken away gold worth Sixteen Lakh
Rupees and one lakh twenty-five thousand rupees in cash, which
was kept in the house of the Informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case
merely on the ground that petitioners are mother and brother of



co-accused Akash Kumar. From perusal of the F.I.R it appears that Akash Kumar was in love with the daughter of the informant and they have escaped from the house of the informant and both are traceless as yet. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that from perusal of the FIR it appears that no case is made out against the petitioners under Sections 137(2) and 96 of BNS. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet under Sections 137(2) and 96 of BNS against the petitioners and the petitioner no. 1 is in custody since 26.06.2025 and petitioner no. 2 is in custody since 27.06.2025 respectively.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners and submits that petitioner no. 1 has antecedent of three cases and petitioner no. 2 has antecedent of two cases other than the present case but fairly submits that petitioners are on bail in the pending matter.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Birpur, Supaul in connection with Bhimnagar



P.S. Case No. 46 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

