

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23588 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-330 Year-2025 Thana- BHELDI District- Saran

Prince Singh @ Prince Kumar @ Jishant Singh Son of Subhas Singh @ Suvas
Singh Resident of Village- Narayanpur, P.S.- Bheldi, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bheldi P.S. Case No. 330 of 2025 registered for the offences under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, during patrolling and checking of vehicle, two persons riding a motorcycle tried to flee away on seeing the police party. They were given a chase and one of them was apprehended, who disclosed the name of the petitioner, who fled away from the spot. From a sack kept on the motorcycle, recovery of 2.625 litres of India made foreign liquor was made.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Neither the seized vehicle nor the seized liquor belong to this petitioner, who has been made accused in this case on the basis of disclosure of co-accused Manish Kumar Singh. But the petitioner has been implicated in this case due to village politics. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is having antecedent of one case but the rejection order of learned Sessions Court has mentioned about the petitioner having two antecedent which is clearly an error of record. Learned counsel further submits even the case in which the petitioner has been made accused, he was juvenile at that time and was not apprehended from the spot though his father has also been made accused in that case.

5. Learned APP opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned APP submits that the petitioner is having antecedent and he was made accused in the similar nature of offence.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner was not apprehended from the spot and nothing incriminating has been recovered from his person or possession and further considering the absence of material to



show the complicity of the petitioner in the offence under Excise Act and also considering the possibility of false implication, let the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of eight weeks from today, be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge -cum- Exclusive Special Court Excise Act-I, Saran at Chapra/concerned court in connection with Bheldi P.S. Case No. 330 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions :

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

DKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

