

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22955 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-199 Year-2023 Thana- DHAMDAHA District- Purnia

Md. Heera @ Heera @ Heerwa @ Md. Heeru Son of Late Sahebuddin @
Mohammad Shahabuddin Resident of Village - Bhikhanpur, Police Line,
Jhopper Patti Gumti No. 3, P.S. - Ishakchak, District - Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhola Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pushpa Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 09-02-2026

Heard the parties.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Dhamdaha P.S. Case No. 199 of 2023 registered for the offence under Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 27 of the Arms Act. Earlier, the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 21.08.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 54039 of 2024:-

*Heard learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned A.P.P. for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in
connection with Dhamdaha P.S. Case No. 199 of
2023, lodged under Section 394 of the I.P.C. read
with Section 27 of the Arms Act.*

*3. As per the prosecution case, the
petitioner was involved in snatching of money from
the informant.*

*4. Learned counsel for the petitioner
submits that the unknown accused persons are said
to have looted more than Rs.1 lakh from the
informant. He submits that the petitioner is*



incustody since 07.12.2023 having been accused in 10 more cases of similar nature. He further submits that the name of the petitioner has come in self-inculpatory statement of the co-accused.

5. Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and has submitted that sufficient material has come during investigation to connect the petitioner with the alleged crime.

6. Considering the allegation and antecedents of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and, therefore, the present bail application is hereby rejected.

3. Considering the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to review its earlier order. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

4. The Superintendent of Police, Purnia is directed to ensure the attendance of the witnesses in the trial.

5. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of Police, Purnia through FAX for its compliance.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

