

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7394 of 2025

=====

Shailendra Kumar Verma Son of Late Loknath Prasad, Resident of Village/Mohalla- Saida Bajar, Hilsa, P.O. and P.S.- Hilsa, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Department of Secondary Primary and Adult Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Department of Secondary Primary and Adult Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Director, Secondary Primary and Adult Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Director, L.C.D.S., Department of Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The District Mass Education Officer, Biharsharif, District- Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. K.C. Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Randhir Kumar, AC to SC-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 30-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the
following reliefs:-

*“That this is an application for
issuance of an appropriate writ or writs
commanding and directing the respondents
to pay the arrears of salary to the petitioner
from the date of termination of their service
with effect from 01.10.2001 to 30.07.2006*



(04 years 10 months) re-instatement case of petitioner with all consequential benefits monetary as well as promotional. The respondents may further be directed to absorb the service of petitioner in present department instead of their continuance in a scheme known as I.C.D.S. under the Welfare Department.”

3. Learned counsel for the State raised preliminary objection and submits that the petitioner has sought relief which, according to him, arose in the year 2006, but the present writ petition has been filed in the year 2025. He further submits that, in the entire writ petition, it has not been explained why such delay has taken place.

4. In this background, this Court is not inclined to grant any relief in this matter. However, liberty is hereby granted to the petitioner that, if so advised, he may pursue the matter before the department.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

