

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.674 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2026 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

Rubina Khatun W/o Khurshid Alam Resident of village- Hardiyabad, Post-Damodarpur, PS- Pipra, District- East champaran Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Additional Chief Secretary, Deptt. of Home, Govt. of Bihar,
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. The Inspector General of Police, Bihar, Tirhut Range, Muzaffarpur Bihar
4. The District Magistrate, East Champaran, Distt.- East Champaran at Motihari
5. The Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Dist.- East Champaran at Motihari Bihar
6. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer (S.D.P.O.), Chakia, Dist.- East Champaran at Motihari Bihar
7. The Station House Officer (S.H.O.), Pipra Police Station, P.S.- Pipra, Dist.- East Champaran Bihar
8. Abdula Ansari S/o Ajeez Ansari R/o vill - Hardiyabad, P.S.- Pipra, Dist.- East Champaran
9. Sajra Khatoon W/o Abdula Ansari R/o vill - Hardiyabad, P.s.- Pipra, Dist.- East Champaran
10. Nurul Hoda S/o Abdul Karim Ansari R/o vill - Hardiyabad, P.s.- Pipra, Dist.- East Champaran
11. Nezamuddin Ansari S/o Not Known R/o vill - Hardiyabad, P.s.- Pipra, Dist.- East Champaran
12. Hasim Ansari S/o Masood Miya R/o vill - Hardiyabad, P.s.- Pipra, Dist.- East Champaran

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ranjeet Kumar, Advocate Mr. Rajnish Prakash, Advocate Ms. Lakshmi Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. S.D. Sanjay, Advocate General Mr. Vikash Khanna, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

8 23-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.



2. It is evident from the order dated 14.05.2026 that the Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Motihari was called upon to appear in person through online mode to apprise this Court as to the action taken on his part towards recovery of the victim girl. On 15.05.2026, this Court directed for filing a comprehensive affidavit by the Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Motihari stating as to what steps have been taken in the matter after recovery of the victim girl.

3. Today, this Court has gone through the letter no.1228 dated 06.06.2026 written by the Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Motihari to this Court. From the statements made in paragraph '3' of the report, it appears that on some information a raid was conducted at the place of which the mobile tower location was found. The SIT team conducted the raid at Delhi from where the missing victim girl was found and recovered. The accused Jitendra Ram has been arrested.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner admits that the victim girl has been recovered and her statement has been recorded under Section 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (in short 'B.N.S.S.').

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that in this case the investigating officer has not acted well



with sensitivity and for that reason the petitioner is aggrieved by and dissatisfied with the manner in which the investigation has been carried out so far.

6. We are of the considered opinion that this writ application seeking a writ in the nature of writ of habeas corpus has become infructuous. So far as the investigation of the case is concerned, the Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Motihari shall ensure that the investigation takes place in accordance with the guidelines meant for purpose of investigation in the matters of crime against the women and children. If the investigating officer is not acting properly, he may take appropriate action in administrative side and consider changing the investigating officer.

7. This writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

(Kumar Manish, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

