

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24204 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-264 Year-2025 Thana- SHANKARPUR District- Madhepura

Pawan Kumar S/o Rajendra Sah Resident of Village- Basantpur, Ward No. 15,
P.S.- Shankarpur, District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 22-04-2026 1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out of Shankarpur Police Station Case No. 264 of 2025, dated 16.12.2025, disclosing offences punishable under Sections 25 (1-b)a/26/35 of the Arms Act.
3. The prosecution case, as per the First Information Report, is that the police, on secret information that the petitioner had kept illegal arms in his house, raided the house of the petitioner, but when the police asked him to open a room which was locked, the petitioner fled away. The said room was opened by the wife of the petitioner, from where the police recovered six live cartridges.
4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in



this case due to ulterior motive. He further submits that the live cartridges were recovered from the roof outside the house of the petitioner and the petitioner was not aware about the seized articles. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and the petitioner is a daily-wage labourer.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the material available on record, including the impugned order.
6. From perusal of the impugned order, First Information Report and the seizure list, it transpires that the illegal live cartridges were recovered from the chhajja inside the room in the house of the petitioner.
7. Considering the above and the fact that the case is under investigation, wherein the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may be necessary based upon the material collected by the police during course of investigation, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
8. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	√	T	√
---	---	---	---

