

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22590 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-208 Year-2025 Thana- KAMTAUL District- Darbhanga

Arvind Yadav @ Arvind Kumar Yadav Son of Late Yogendra Yadav @ Late
Yogindar Yadav Resident of village-Navtoliya PS -Kamtaul District
-Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Baidyanath Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-04-2026 Heard Mr. Baidyanath Prasad, learned counsel for
the petitioner as well as Mr. Ramesh Chandra, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
23.09.2025 in connection with Kamtaul P.S. Case No. 208 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 22.09.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 126(2), 308(3), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the
Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he tried to
assault the informant on instruction of one Santosh Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. Although the petitioner is not



named in the FIR but from bare perusal of the FIR it appears that there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act of firing against him. The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other co-accused persons opened fire in the air and petitioner was apprehended at the place of occurrence and similarly situated co-accused person, namely, Murari Kumar @ Murari Yadav has been granted the privilege of bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 01.04.2026 in Cr. Misc. No. 13169 of 2026. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 23.09.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioner has clean antecedent and there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act of firing against him and similarly situated co-accused person has been granted the privilege of bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Darbhanga in connection with Kamtaul P.S. Case No. 208 of



2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

