

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22615 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2025 Thana- DARPA District- East Champaran

Sanjay Thakur Son of Gauri Thakur @ Gauri Shankar Sharma Resident of  
Village- Sukhlahiya, P.S.- Darpa, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Madhurendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      15-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

02. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 1400 of 2025 arising out of Darpa P.S. Case No. 168 of 2025 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 103, 238 of BNS.

03. As per prosecution case, the daughter of the informant was married with the petitioner in the year 2014. On 08.07.2025, the husband of the informant received a call about death of his daughter. When the informant reached the matrimonial house of her daughter, she found her granddaughter crying, who told the informant that in the preceding night some altercation took place between her parents and her father hit her



mother with iron rod and she died. The petitioner burnt the dead body in the night.

04. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. No occurrence in the manner as alleged has ever taken place. On the alleged date of occurrence the deceased was ill and she died in at late night due to food poisoning and thereafter, the petitioner informed the informant and others, who participated in cremation but subsequently, the informant lodged this false case. The daughter of the petitioner stayed in his house and the narration of the incident told by her has not been supported by further statement of the witnesses recorded in this case. However, the daughter of the deceased has not been examined by the police during investigation. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is in custody for about a year and he is having clean antecedent. Charges have been framed against the petitioner.

05. Learned APP opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned APP submits that the witnesses during investigation have supported the prosecution case.

06. Considering the fact that the specific allegation of assault and causing death of his wife is against the petitioner, I



am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and hence, his prayer for bail is rejected.

07. The learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody for about a year.

**(Arun Kumar Jha, J)**

DKS/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

