

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23659 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2025 Thana- KACCHWA District- Rohtas

Pravind Kumar Son of Sudama Singh R/o Village - Ibrahimpur, P.S. - Kachhwan, Distt. - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. XXXX Son of Sudama Singh R/o Village - Saraiyan, P.S. - Kachhwan, Distt. - Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      08-04-2026                      Heard Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel  
for the petitioner and Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, learned APP  
for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since  
05.01.2026, in connection with Kachhawan P.S. Case No. 192  
of 2025, F.I.R. dated 23.09.2025 registered for the offences  
punishable under Sections 64, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023  
and Sections 4/6 of the POCSO Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has  
established physical relation with the victim on several occasion  
on the pretext of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. As per allegation in the F.I.R. that the petitioner has established physical relation with the victim on several occasion on the pretext of marriage. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and in fact the informant has not informed her parents about the occurrence and she has informed the parent of the petition about the present occurrence.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the statement of the victim was recorded under Sections 180/183 of the B.N.S.S. in which she has fully supported the case of the prosecution and apart from that the age of the victim was 15 years which suggests that on the date of occurrence she was minor.

6. Considering the nature of allegation supported by the victim in her statement recorded under Sections 180/ 183 of the B.N.S.S., I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Kachhawan P.S. Case No. 192 of 2025



pending in the Court of learned District & Additional Sessions  
Judge-VIIth-cum-Special Judge (POCSO), Rohtas.

7. Prayer is refused.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Ibrar//-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

