IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
FIRST APPEAL No.77 of 2019

==========

1. Sri Nath Jha S/o Late Kashi Nath Jha R/o 4, G.M. Road Opposite ICICI Bank, P.S.-Town, District-Darbhanga
2. Rita Jha W/o Sri Nath Jha R/o 4, G.M. Road Opposite ICICI Bank, P.S.-Town, District-Darbhanga

... ... Appellant/s

Versus

1. Rajeshwar Singh Son of Late Rajkumar Subheshwar Singh R/o Rambagh Palace, P.S.-L.N.M.U. Campus, Anchal-Sadar, District-Darbhanga
2. Kapileshwar Singh Son of Late Rajkumar Subheshwar Singh R/o Rambagh Palace, P.S.-L.N.M.U. Campus, Anchal-Sadar, District-Darbhanga
3. Shiv Kumar Jha S/o Nabo Narayan Jha R/o Raj Kumarganj, P.S. Town, District-Darbhanga, Permanent resident of Village and Post-Mahatha, P.S.-Ladania, District-Madhubani

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Appellant/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

12 28-04-2026 On 17.03.2026, the following order was passed:

"Vide order dated 05.08.2025, appellant was granted last chance to remove the defect/s as pointed out by the office but the said defect/s have not yet been removed.

2. Today, since the matter is listed for the first time before this Bench, once again in the interest of justice, last chance is being given to the learned counsel for the appellant to remove the

WEB COPY

defect/s as pointed out by the office.

3. List this case on 28.04.2026."

2. On 05.08.2025, the appellants were granted four weeks' time to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, as a last chance. Thereafter, vide order dated 17.03.2026, the appellants were again granted further time to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office.

3. Perused the office notes dated 27.04.2026. It appears that last chance was given to the learned counsel for the appellants for removing the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, i.e. to file deficit court fee of Rs. 49,950/- only as pointed out vide further stamp report defect dated 05.03.2025, but the same has not been filed as yet.

4. Today, none appears on behalf of the Appellants. It appears that Appellants have lost interest in pursuing the matter.

5. In view of the above, the present Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U			

WEB COPY