

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22239 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2025 Thana- BHABHUA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Pradeep Kumar S/o Ramesh Ram R/o Village - Kilani, P.S - Chand, District - Kaimur at Bhabhua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Satyapal Ram S/o Late Shiv Kumar Ram R/o Village and P.O - Kilani, P.S - Chand, District - Kaimur at Bhabhua

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Lal, APP
Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

4 20-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bhabhua P.S. Case No. 195 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Section 96 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner and other accused persons, in furtherance of their common intention and conspiracy, allegedly kidnapped the informant's 17-year-old



daughter for the purpose of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that, as a matter of fact, the victim had gone to Gujarat with the petitioner on her own sweet will and there was no any force inflicted upon victim by the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that there is contradiction in the statement of the victim recorded under Sections 180 of the BNSS and Section 183 BNSS. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 19.01.2026 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS has corroborated the contents of the FIR, and therefore, the petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also Section 183 statement of the victim, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.



7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the
petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

