

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5578 of 2026

=====

Bhelu Ravidas Son of Sri Laro Ravidas, Resident of Village - Hariyadih, P.O. Bhour, Anchal, P.S. Khaira, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Collector- cum-District Magistrate, Jamui.
3. The Additional Collector, Jamui.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms (DCLR), Jamui.
5. The Circle Officer, Kharia Block, District- Jamui.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binay Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prashant Pratap, GP-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred for the following relief(s):

(i) for issuance of a writ order or direction for for quashing the notice contained in memo no.506 in Jamabandi cancellation case no.151/2023 dated 12.03.2024 initiation of Jamabandi cancellation proceeding by the Addl. Collector, Jamui in respect of the land settled by the D.C.L.R., Jamui in year 1979-80 after a laps of five (5) decades under the provisions of section 9 of the Bihar Mutation Act.



(ii) For issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction restraining the respondents in interfering the peaceful possession and occupation of the petitioner in respect of land in question.

(iii) For issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction restraining the respondents in peaceful possession and occupation of the land-in-question.

(iv) For grant of ad-interim stay of the further proceeding of the Jamabandi case no.813 during the pendency of this writ application.

(v) Any other relief or reliefs for which petitioner may be found entitled under the law.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner shall be filing reply, if not filed and if the matter is pending. He further submits that he will be filing a detailed reply to show that for decades, the land remained in the possession of the petitioner uninterrupted and only because of some animosity, the present proceeding.

4. Learned State Counsel submits that notice has been issued, the petitioner has all the opportunity to put forward all his view points along with supporting documents.

5. This Court is also of the opinion that if the matter is



still pending and merely notice has been issued, it would be appropriate for the petitioner to file his reply before the concerned authority so that it is taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

