

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24347 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-332 Year-2024 Thana- PARAIYA District- Gaya

Rikosh Yadav @ Rikosh Kumar S/o Vijay Yadav R/o Village - Manjhiyawan ,
P.s- Konch, District -Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Brijmohan Das, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jai Narain Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Paraiya P.S. Case No. 332 of 2024 registered for the offences under Sections 137(2), 87 of B.N.S.

3. As per prosecution case, 18 years old daughter of the informant went missing and the informant named this petitioner who kidnapped her daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has been made accused in this case merely on suspicion. The victim was student in the coaching institute of the petitioner. The statement of the victim girl was recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of BNSS wherein she stated that



she left the house on her own and never met the petitioner. She further stated that she contacted the petitioner on telephone and the petitioner instructed her to return home and the petitioner also informed the cousin of the victim about her leaving the house. Learned counsel further submits that not a single word has been uttered against the petitioner for any wrong doing. From the statement of the victim recorded by the police as well as before the learned Magistrate, it transpires that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence rather he helped the informant's family by giving information about the location of the victim. The petitioner even accompanied the informant in search of her daughter. Learned counsel further submits that the father of the victim brought her from Dhanband on 03.10.2024 and her mother lodged this false case on the same day against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submits that it is a case of false implication and it is also clear from the delay in lodging the FIR as the occurrence said to have taken place on 30.09.2024 but without any satisfactory explanation, the FIR has been lodged on 03.10.2024. The petitioner is having clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner.



6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the doubtful nature of allegation against the petitioner and further considering his clean antecedent, let the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of eight weeks from today, be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Gaya/concerned court in connection with Paraiya P.S. Case No. 332 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions :

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

DKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

