

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22030 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-124 Year-2026 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Gopalganj

=====

Surendra Yadav S/O Gopal Yadav R/O Village- Bhangha Bhagwanpur, P.S-  
Nautan, District- West Champaran (Bettiah).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Lokesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pronoti Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR**

ORAL ORDER

3      10-04-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Gopalganj Excise P.S. Case No. 124 of 2026 registered for the  
offence under Sections 30(a) and 32 of the Bihar Prohibition  
and Excise Amendment Act, 2018.

3. As per the prosecution case, the recovery is of  
638.280 liters of illicit liquor.

4. The petitioner is in custody since 11.02.2026 and  
he claims to have clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in  
this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, this application  
is allowed.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on  
bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand)



with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court below in connection with Gopalganj Excise P.S. Case No. 124 of 2026.

8. As a condition of this order, the petitioner after being released on bail is directed to mark his attendance at Nautan Police Station on every Sunday of each month. Any default in appearance at the police station will result in cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. As the petitioner claims to have clean antecedent, the Court below, before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner is directed to verify the antecedent of the petitioner. If the petitioner is found to be involved in any other case then the bail bonds of the petitioner shall not be accepted.

10. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

**(Sandeep Kumar, J)**

Vikas/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

