

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22276 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2026 Thana- DIGHWARA District- Saran

Rinki Devi @ Rinku Devi Wife of Mukhalal Mahato Village- Hemantpur Ps
-Dighwara District -Saran AT Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandra Mohan Jha, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Sucheta Yadav, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 09-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Dighwara P.S. Case No. 72 of 2026 registered for the offence
punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, there is recovery of 20
litres of illicit liquor.

4. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been
implicated in this case. The petitioner is in custody since
16.02.2026 having clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is ready to donate Rs. 25,000/- in some charitable



organization without accepting her guilt.

6. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned 1st Exclusive Special Excise Judge, Saran at Chapra/concerned Court below in connection with Dighwara P.S. Case No. 72 of 2026.

8. This is also subject to the condition that the petitioner shall deposit an amount of Rs. 25,000/- in Ramakrishna Mission Ashrama, Latu Maharaj Path, Opp. Circuit House, Chapra, Bihar and produce the receipt of the same before the Court below. The bail bonds of the petitioner shall be accepted after verifying the genuineness of the receipt produced by the petitioner.

9. As the petitioner claims to have clean antecedent, the Court below, before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner is directed to verify the antecedent of the petitioner. If the petitioner is found to be involved in any other case then the bail bonds of the petitioner shall not be accepted.



10. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

