

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22070 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-937 Year-2024 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Ankit Kumar Son of Rajendra Singh Resident of Mohalla - Nahar Fall Takiya,
P.S. - Sasaram (Town), District - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Golden Kumar Son of Rama Kant Raut Resident of Village - Chandravan Patti, P.S. - Kargahar, District - Rohtas
3. Rinku Kumari Daughter of Rama Kant Raut Resident of Village - Chandravan Patti, P.S. - Kargahar, District - Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, Advocate
For the O.P. Nos. 2 & 3	:	Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate
		Ms. Riya Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Narendra Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Harendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rana Randhir Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 11-02-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned Counsel for the Opposite Party Nos. 2 & 3 and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sasaram (Town) P.S. Case No. 937 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 85, 64, 238 and 80 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case as per the First Information Report, the Opposite Party No. 2 alleged that he married his sister to the petitioner on 21.05.2021. Thereafter, the accused persons named in the FIR including the petitioner, started



demanding a motorcycle as a dowry and due to non-fulfillment of their demand, they tortured her. In the meantime, she was blessed with a son and a daughter, but after five months, her son died. It is further alleged that earlier her brother-in-law, namely, Suraj Kumar, had committed rape with his sister and when she became pregnant, her in-laws made false allegations against her.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. However, without prejudice to the right and contention of the petitioner and in order to maintain harmony in the family, the petitioner is ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 3 as living cost, subject to the final outcome of the present case as well as the matrimonial case, if any, pending and/or decided between the parties.

5. Learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 3 accepts the offer so made by learned Counsel for the petitioner as Opposite Party No. 3 is on the verge of starvation and submits that the petitioner may be directed to deposit the said amount of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of the Opposite Party No. 3, details of which shall be furnished by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 3 to learned Counsel



for the petitioner within ten days from today.

6. After having heard learned Counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that the offer made by learned Counsel for the petitioner has been accepted by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 3, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. This application is, accordingly, allowed.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on bail, upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram, Rohtas, in connection with Sasaram (Town) P.S. Case No. 937 of 2024.

9. This is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of Opposite Party No. 3, starting from 01st March, 2026.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

