

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21027 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-263 Year-2025 Thana- MUSAHARI District- Muzaffarpur

1. Kailash Sahani S/o Jugal Sahani Resident of Village- Kothiya, P.S. Mushahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
2. Shushila Devi Wife of Kailash Sahani. Resident of Village- Kothiya, P.S. Mushahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
3. Lakhendra Sahani Son of Kailash Sahani. Resident of Village- Kothiya, P.S. Mushahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
4. Sarita Devi Wife of Lakhendra Sahani. Resident of Village- Kothiya, P.S. Mushahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
5. Rangila Devi D/o- Kailash Sahani Resident of Village- Kothiya, P.S. Mushahari, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sharda Kumari, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 14-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioners apprehend thier arrest in connection with Mushahari P.S. Case No.263 of 2025 instituted under Sections 82(2), 85 & 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.
3. As per the prosecution case, the marriage of the daughter of the informant was solemnized with the co-accused Amarjeet Sahni about 7-8 years ago and due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand, the daughter of the informant was killed by



the accused persons including the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner no.1 is father-in-law, petitioner no.2 is mother-in-law, petitioner nos.3 & 4 are *Bhaisur* and *Gotni* and petitioner no.5 is sister-in-law of the deceased respectively and they have no concern with the matrimonial affairs of the deceased and her husband as they are residing separately. Learned counsel submits that there is no specific allegation against these petitioners of assault to the deceased. He further submits that the husband of the deceased is already in judicial custody. Learned counsel submits that petitioners have got clean antecedent and they undertake to cooperate in the investigation and trial of the case. There is no chance of absconding the petitioners or tampering with the evidence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and the nature of allegation leveled against the petitioners as well as their clean antecedent, in the event of arrest or surrender before



the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioners be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st, Court- XIIth, Muzaffarpur (East)/ concerned Court in connection with Mushahari P.S. Case No.263 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Ritik/-Utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

