

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21169 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-598 Year-2025 Thana- MALSALAMI District- Patna

Tonu kumar Son of Raju Saw Resident of village- Behind Malasalami
Railway Line, Ps- Malsalami Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bindeshwar Prasad Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jai Narain Thakur

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 06-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Malsalami P.S. Case No. 598 of 2025 registered for the offence
under Sections 303(2), 317(2) of BNS, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and
other accused person were caught when they were trying to sell
stolen mobile phone.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he is in custody since 23.11.2025. He
further submits that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent
bearing Malsalami P.S. Case No. 194 of 2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the
prayer for bail of the petitioner.



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned concerned Court below in connection with Malsalami P.S. Case No. 598 of 2025.

7. As a condition of this order, the petitioner after being released on bail is directed to mark his attendance at Malsalami Police Station on every Sunday of each month. Any default in appearance at the police station will result in cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. As the petitioner claims to have one antecedent, the Court below, before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner is directed to verify the antecedent of the petitioner. If the petitioner is found to be involved in any other case except the present case and the one mentioned in paragraph no. 4 of this order then the bail bonds of the petitioner shall not be accepted.

9. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not



expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

