

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22122 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-224 Year-2021 Thana- BIDUPUR District- Vaishali

Ravindra Kumar S/O Suresh Das Resident of Village- Karmopur, Police
Station- Rustampur, Distt.- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjeet Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner as well as learned APP appearing on behalf of the

State.

2. At the very outset, learned APP appearing on behalf
of the State submits that the present anticipatory bail application
of the petitioner is not maintainable in view of the impugned
order. Para 7 of the impugned order reads as under:-

*“Perused the case record as well as
case diary. On perusal it transpires that the case
instituted against unknown. The police after
investigation submitted charge sheet no.
65/2022 dated 15-02-2022 against accused
Chhotu Kumar, Surendra Kumar and Jitendra
Kumar as shown in column no. 11 of the charge
sheet and investigation supplementary
investigation continued on other points.*



Thereafter supplementary case diary has been submitted and in para 13 of supplementary case diary it has been mentioned that the last order cum report 4 of S.P. Vaishali vide office letter no. 8203 dated 31-05-2022 has been received and it has been directed to close the investigation of the case and the investigation of the case in the light of such order the investigation was close. In the facts & circumstances the accused does not apprehends his arrest as such the bail application is rejected.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and nothing incriminating has been recovered from his possession. Petitioner has never been put on TIP (Test Identification Parade) and from perusal of impugned order it clearly transpires that chargesheet has been submitted against other co-accused persons. Learned counsel further submits that further investigation against the petitioner has been directed to be closed by the S.P. Vaishali.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the considered opinion that the present anticipatory bail application is not maintainable, as there is no apprehension of arrest to the petitioner. However, if any cause of action arises, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file a fresh anticipatory bail application.



Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application
stands disposed of.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

