

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22282 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-482 Year-2021 Thana- SHERGHATI District- Gaya

Laxman Paswan S/o Late Chamari Paswan R/o Village- Ghoraghat, PS-
Dobhi (Bahera), Distt- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-04-2026 Heard Mr. Paras Nath, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
22.08.2024, in connection with Sherghati (Dhobi) P.S. Case No.
482 of 2021, F.I.R. dated 08.08.2021 registered for the offences
punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The F.I.R. of the occurrence of loot of car is against
Vivek Yadav and Kailu Paswan.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the
present case. He further submits that the petitioner is not named in
the F.I.R. and the name of the petitioner has been transpired
during investigation and the petitioner was arrested in Hunterganj
P.S. Case no. 52 of 2022 on 05.04.2022 and thereafter the



petitioner was remanded in this case on 22.08.2024 and the petitioner has confessed his guilt in the present occurrence. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that except the confessional statement of the petitioner, nothing has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence and nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and till date no TIP was conducted by the prosecution. The petitioner is in custody since 22.08.2024.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries seven more cases other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that out of seven cases, the petitioner is on bail in five cases and rest two cases are pending for consideration before the competent court of law.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R and till date no TIP was conducted by the prosecution, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Sherghati, Gaya in connection with Sherghati (Dobhi) P.S. Case No. 482 of 2021, subject to the following conditions :-



(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

