

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23262 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-187 Year-2025 Thana- BUXAR INDUSTRIAL District- Buxar

Dinesh Yadav @ Dinesh Kumar Yadav @ Chhoti Yadav @ Dinesh Kumar
S/O Late Surendra Yadav R/O Vill - Dalsagar, P.S.- Buxar Industrial, Distt.-
Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Buxar Industrial P.S. Case No. 187 of 2025 instituted for the offence punishable under Sections 310(4), 310(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 25(1-b)a, 25(1-aa), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that police had information that certain miscreants have gathered at the house of the petitioner for committing some offence. On this input, police raided the house of the petitioner upon which certain persons started fleeing away. Four persons were



apprehended and from their possession, weapons were recovered.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He also submits that from perusal of the seizure list, it will transpire that the recovery has been made from a lane before the house of the petitioner. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner nor from his house and two persons namely, Sunil Poddar and Rajesh Kumar was apprehended from the house of the petitioner and from their possession, several articles used in manufacturing of illegal arms were recovered.

6. Though no firearms was recovered from the house of the petitioner, certain articles which are used in manufacturing of firearms were recovered from the house of the petitioner.

7. Learned APP has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is having two criminal antecedents.

8. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering that various articles which are used manufacturing of illegal firearms were recovered from from the house of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to



the petitioner and, as such, his application for bail is rejected.

8. However, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial Court and pray for regular bail, the same shall be considered by the trial Court without being prejudiced by this order of rejection.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

