

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21580 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-589 Year-2018 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Rajeev Kumar Keshri S/o Ram Babu Keshri R/o Village- Rajendra Path Road,
Mirchahiagali, P.S.- Kotwali, District- Gaya, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Punam @ Jyoti Devi Daughter of Sri Vijay Saw Wife of Shri Rajeev Kumar Keshri Resident of Village-Kashmirganj, Police Station - Mashaurhi, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ankit Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, APP
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Rananjay Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the O.P. No. 2.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Complaint Case No. 589(C) of 2018, filed
for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 498A and 504
of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per allegation, subsequent to the marriage,
demand of dowry started and on account of non-fulfillment of
the same, the complainant-wife was subjected to cruelty by the
petitioner. As per further case of the complainant, one child is
born out of the wedlock, but even after passage of two months,



the petitioner-husband has not gone to her *maike* to see the child and take her back, nor does he pay any maintenance amount to her and the newly born child.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is willing to keep his wife and newly born child at his home and he will go to his *sasural* and take the complainant and the child back for keeping them at his matrimonial home.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant is willing to go to her matrimonial home if her husband takes her to his matrimonial home.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender



before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court below, in connection with Complaint Case No. 589(C) of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S 2023, and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

