

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22794 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-936 Year-2025 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

Arun Mandal S/o Late Agahan Mandal @ Late Agahan Manda R/o Village -
Mahota, P.S - Amarpur, District - Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Nandan Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 Heard Mr. Brij Nandan Prasad, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
10.01.2026 in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 936 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 25.12.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and
3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, this petitioner along
with other accused persons armed with deadly weapons brutally
assaulted the informant and his family members over a petty
dispute.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. It appears from the FIR that due to petty dispute the present occurrence has taken place. There is case and counter case between the parties. Although there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted to Sakuna Devi who is daughter-in-law of the informant and Sanjay Mandal. He further submits that from perusal of the injury report of Sakuna Devi it appears that the injury is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance and injury report of Sanjay Mandal suggest that one injury is simple in nature and one injury is grievous in nature which is on hand but the same is not on the vital part of the body. The petitioner is in custody since 10.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the petitioner has clean antecedent and there is case and counter case between the parties, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Banka in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 936 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-



i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

