

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23464 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-308 Year-2024 Thana- BRAHMPUR District- Buxar

1. Bachhu Ram S/o Late Raj Narayan Ram R/o Village - Deokuli, P.S - Brahmpur, District - Buxar
2. Chhote Lal Ram S/o Late Parshuram R/o Village - Deokuli, P.S - Brahmpur, District - Buxar
3. Chandan Devi @ Chanda Devi W/o Dharmendra Ram R/o Village - Deokuli, P.S - Brahmpur, District - Buxar
4. Vidhyawati Devi W/o Late Raj Narayan Ram @ Rajaram Narayan R/o Village - Deokuli, P.S - Brahmpur, District - Buxar
5. Dhanu Ram S/o Chhote Lal Ram R/o Village - Deokuli, P.S - Brahmpur, District - Buxar
6. Shikari Ram @ Sanjay Ram @ Vijay S/o Chhote Lal Ram R/o Village - Deokuli, P.S - Brahmpur, District - Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 149, 504, 323, 307 and 325 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation in the First Information Report is that the petitioners have assaulted the informant and her son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits, at the



outset, that there is delay of ten days in lodging the First Information Report, inasmuch as, the occurrence took place on 15.06.2024, while the FIR was registered on 25.06.2024. It is further submitted that the petitioners and the informant are neighbours and the incident took place on account of some dispute between the parties. It has also been submitted that although there is specific allegation against petitioner no.1, namely, Bachhu Ram with regard to assault on the son of the informant and there is general and omnibus allegations on all the accused persons of having assaulted the informant, the injuries sustained by both the informant and her son appears to be simple in nature, as would be evident from the bail rejection order itself. Learned counsel has also pointed out a compromise petition, a certified copy of which is on record, wherein it has been indicated that on the intervention of some well-wishers both the sides have resolved their dispute and they did not want to pursue the present case. Further, the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail on the ground that the injury sustained by the injured persons are on the vital part of the body.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and



circumstances and considering the fact that so far as the petitioner nos.2 to 6 are concerned, the allegations are general and omnibus in nature and with regard to petitioner no.1, although there is allegation of inflicting a blow on the child, considering the compromise entered into between the parties, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Brahmpur P.S. Case No. 308 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C/ Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

7. It is further made clear that the bail bonds of the petitioner no.1 shall be accepted only after confirming the authenticity of the compromise petition.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

