

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24145 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-108 Year-2025 Thana- ASARGANJ District- Munger

=====

Bittu Kumar S/O Damodar Ram R/O Village- Bind Toli, P.S- Sahebpur
Kamal, Distt.- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Union of India through Junior Intelligence officer, Narcotics Control
Bureau, Patna Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kumar Choudhary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-04-2026 Heard Mr. Raj Kumar Choudhary, learned counsel

for the petitioner and learned Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, A.P.P. for

the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
25.07.2025, in connection with Asarganj P.S. Case No. 108 of
2025, FIR dated 24.07.2025 registered for the offence under
Sections 111(2), 111(3) and 20(b)(II), 25 of the NDPS Act.

3. Recovery is of 75 kg of Ganja.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the
FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed



any offence as alleged in the FIR. It appears from the FIR that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the vehicle in question.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, on the basis of the material available on the record, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that altogether 75 kg of Ganja has been recovered from the vehicle in question which was driven by the petitioner and it appears from the FIR that huge quantity of Ganja was recovered so there is embargo under Section 37 of the NDPS Act to grant privilege of bail to the petitioner.

6. The grant of bail in NDPS cases where the recovery of commercial quantity of narcotic is alleged is circumscribed under Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, Section 37 which says that before grant of bail, the Court must have reason to believe that the petitioner has not committed the offence and in the event of release he would not commit similar offence.

7. The issue was considered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **State of Kerala and Ors Vs. Rajesh & Ors**, reported in **2020(12) SCC 122** as well as in the



case of **Narcotic Control Bureau Vs. Mohit Aggarwal** reported in **AIR 2022 SC 3444** and recently decided the judgment in the case of **Union of India Vs. Ajay Kumar Singh @ Pappu**, reported in **2023 SCC OnLine SC 346**.

8. The recovery of huge quantity of Ganja from from the vehicle in question which was driven by the petitioner would not justify that the petitioner had no knowledge of the narcotic nor there is any material to substantiate that the petitioner would not commit such offence in the event of release.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Asarganj P.S. Case No. 108 of 2025, pending in the court of learned Sessions Judge, Munger.

10. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

