

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21103 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-47 Year-2025 Thana- SAHODARA District- West Champaran

Jaiboon Nesh @ Murat Bano @ Jaiboon Nesha @ Murat wife of Ali
Mohammad Ansari Resident of village- Bhikhna Thori, Ps- Sahodra, Dist-
West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Sahodra P.S. Case No.47 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 302 & 201/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
daughter of the informant was married to one Sarforoddin
Ansari. Uptill three and half years she was kept properly. The
daughter (deceased) of the informant called and disclosed to the
informant that the in-laws are assaulting her and after sometime,
the informant did not received the call of the deceased. In April
2025, there was marriage in the family of the informant but the



deceased and her husband did not went there and when the informant went to the matrimonial house of the deceased, he was told that both have not arrived home from last two years. The neighbours also disclosed that they have not seen the daughter of the informant from last two years. The informant suspects that his daughter has been concealed somewhere.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is mother-in-law of the deceased. It has further been submitted that the confessional statement of Sarforoddin Ansari, husband of the deceased is the part of the FIR and from perusal of the confessional statement it will transpire that it is Sarforoddin who has killed the deceased. There is no role of this petitioner in killing the deceased. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a lady and she is in judicial custody since 21.12.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties
of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned
Judicial Magistrate- 1st Class, Bettiah, West Champaran in
connection with Sahodra P.S. Case No.47 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

