

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20812 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-138 Year-2025 Thana- PURUSHOTTAMPUR District- West
Champaran

=====

Saidurahman @ Sheikh Saidurahman Son of Sheikh Sohrab @ soharab
Shaikh Resident of Village -Bherihari Police station- Purushottampur District
-West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bashishtha Narayan Mishra, Advocate
Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 08-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in
connection with Purushottampur P.S. Case No. 138 of 2025
instituted for the offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2),
127(2), 109(1), 118(1), 115(2), 352 and 351(2) of BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has
assaulted with knife to Raushan and the informant Chandan.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and committed no offence. He has further
submitted that there is no repetition of blow. A statement has
been made in para-3 of this petition that the petitioner has got no



criminal antecedent. Moreover, he is languishing in judicial custody since 20.12.2025.

5. From perusal of the order of the learned trial court, it is clear that the learned trial court has recorded that Chandan Kumar has received following injuries:-

Incised stab wound 3cm x $\frac{1}{2}$ cm x $\frac{1}{2}$ cm present on right side part of chest and incised wound 5cm x $\frac{1}{2}$ cm x $\frac{1}{2}$ cm with viscera present on left side lower abdomen.

6. As far as injuries of Raushan are concerned: they are following :-

Incised wound 3cm x $\frac{1}{2}$ cm x $\frac{1}{2}$ cm present on mid part head left side and incised wound 4 cm x $\frac{1}{2}$ cm x $\frac{1}{2}$ cm present mid part of lower abdomen.

7. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail and has submitted that this petitioner has stabbed two persons with knife and the injuries were received on vital part. There is also repetition of blow.

8. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case as stated above, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

9. However, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew



his prayer for bail after six months if the trial is not concluded.

10. Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial
and conclude the same within the stipulated period of time.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

