

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6358 of 2026

Manorama Devi, Wife of Prakash Yadav, Resident of Village- Muradpur (Bhogaon), Post Office- Milik Pahlagarh, Police station- Kadwa, District- Katihar (Bihar) PIN Code- 855114.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Collector, Katihar.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Barsoi District- Katihar.
5. The Anchal Adhikari, Anchal Kadwa, District- Katihar.
6. Azad Ali, Son of Late Farijuddin, Resident of Village- Majhaili, Post Office- Milik Pahlagash, Police Station- Prampur, District- Katihar.
7. Mr. Shyama Nand Roy, Son of Late Krityanand Roy, Resident of Village and Post Office- Arihana, Police Station- Azam Nagaqr, District- Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jibendra Mishra, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Tripurari Nath Ambastha, AC to SC-26

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This writ application has been filed for challenging the Mutation order dated 12.01.2026 passed in Mutation Case No. 781 R27/ 2025-26 by the *Anchaladhikari, Kadwa, Katihar*.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that *Anchaladhikari, Kadwa, Katihar* arbitrarily rejected the mutation case of the petitioner while other mutation application relating to the same land has been allowed by the



Anchaladhikari, Kadwa, Katihar therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ application directly before this Court.

4. It is apparent from the record that this writ application has been filed against the order dated 12.01.2026 passed in Mutation Case No. 781 R27/2025-26 by the *Anchaladhikari, Kadwa, Katihar* directly before this Court without availing Section 7 of the Bihar Land Mutation Act, 2011. The appropriate remedy would lie before the learned D.C.L.R. of the concerned District.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid provisions, the petitioner, if so advised, may file appeal before the concerned D.C.L.R. of the District.

6. If the petitioner files any appeal before the appropriate forum within a period of four weeks, the period consumed in this Court shall be taken into consideration while condoning the limitation, if any.

7. The application stands disposed of with the aforesaid direction/observation.

(Khatim Reza, J)

prabhat/-

U			
---	--	--	--

